UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,764	06/23/2003	Eddy Lambert	016782-0280	5710	
22428 7590 03/19/2007 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 .			EXAMINER		
			COLE, ELIZABETH M		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1771		
	1	,			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/19/2007	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/600,764	LAMBERT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elizabeth M. Cole	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status		•	,				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		action is non-final.					
			osecution as to the merits is				
,,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) 13-31 33-35 38-46 and 51-56 is/are p	ending in the application					
	4) Claim(s) <u>13-31,33-35,38-46 and 51-56</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>13-31</u> , <u>33-35</u> , <u>38-46</u> , <u>51-56</u> is/are reje	ected					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	50.0 <b>u</b> .					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
	on Papers						
	•						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/600,764

Art Unit: 1771

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/19/06 has been entered.

Page 2

Claims 13-31, 33-35, 38-46, 51-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first 2. paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not provide support for the limitation that the stainless steel fibers are made from a high temperature alloy. The specification as originally filed teaches "heat resistant stainless steel fibers" and teaches that "Fecralloy" is a suitable variety of heat resistant stainless steel fibers, but the specification does not recite that the stainless steel fibers are made from a high temperature alloy. Applicant has provided information in the form of material data sheets describing the composition of Fecralloy. The specification needs to be amended to include these limitations. Further, such amendments must be supported by satisfactory showings establishing that the specific nature or process of manufacture of the product as set forth in the amendment was known at the time of filing of the application. Therefore, Applicant needs to provide a showing that the trademark Fecralloy at the time the application was filed has the

Application/Control Number: 10/600,764

Art Unit: 1771

meaning and composition shown in the attached information. The showing in the appendix does not appear to have a date showing that the composition at the time the application was filed the same as the composition at the time the material data sheet was obtained.

Page 3

3. If suitable amendments accompanied by a showing establishing the specific nature of the Fecralloy product at the time the application was filed are made, the claims would define allowable subject matter over the prior art since the prior art does not provide motivation for forming a burner membrane out of high temperature alloy fibers and then not sintering the membrane. The prior art does teach forming filters from stainless steel fibers which are not sintered, but there would not be a motivation to use high temperature alloy fibers to make a filter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

Elizabeth M. Cole